- 39 <u>Staff Updates</u>: All staff have acknowledged receipt of the latest Policy and Procedure Manual.
- 40 Recent hires include David Babbitt (Deli Cook), Maria Savage (Barista) and Nathan Gates (Front
- 41 End/Cashier).
- 42 <u>Marketing/Special Projects</u>: An Earth Day event will bring people to the lower parking lot on
- 43 April 21 for food, fun, and information. Our percent of local food sales decreased from the last
- report, but mostly because of the time of the year.
- 45 <u>Non-Compliant Policy Update</u>: Progress was reported on writing and implementing more L7
- 46 policies.
- 47 GM Schedule: The GM will attend the NCGA All-Corridor meeting April 4-7 and return early
- 48 for Oneota's annual meeting.

49 Action Items

- 50 **9.1 E1 Ends**
- Motion: Joan Leuenberger moved and Lyle Luzum seconded to approve the report on E1 Ends.
- Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- Summary: The charge to the GM and management for this report in 2011, the first year for
- ends monitoring, was to work out interpretations for each of OCC's eight ends statements
- and think about what kinds of data could be gathered throughout the year to support
- assertions of compliance. David Lester and the management team held a retreat to do just
- 57 that and submitted this report of their current thoughts.
- 58 <u>Pro</u>: The team obviously put a lot of thought into the ends and how to report on them. The
- report generates lots of possibilities for board learning, including how GMOs figure into
- Oneota's merchandizing policy.
- 61 <u>Con</u>: Some Coop programs, initiatives, and accomplishments weren't listed in the report.
- As much as possible the data reported needs to be the results of initiatives, not just the
- efforts expended.

69

64 **9.2 L7 Follow-up Monitoring**

- 65 **Motion**: Steve McCargar moved and Lyle Luzum second to approve the follow up monitoring
- report on L7 Asset Protection. Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- Summary: Compliance is now reported in four more areas because procedures have been
- written for cash handling and payables processing.

9.3 G7 Board President's Role

- 70 **Motion**: Robert Fitton moved and Lyle Luzum seconded to approve the G7 Board President's
- Role monitoring report. Motion **passed** by a vote of 5 ayes to 1 abstention (Steve McCargar).
- 52 Summary: Compliance is reported in chairing board meetings, representing the board to
- outside parties, appropriately delegating work to others, relating to the GM, and performing
- duties listed in the by-laws but non-compliance is reported in board meeting management
- and assuring board members carry out their duties.
- 76 Pro: A remediation plan is provided.
- Con: Determining compliance as a score of 4 out of 5 points on the performance survey of
- other board members seems too stringent. An "inadequate/adequate /super" seems a better

indicator. It was disappointing that not all board members voted so one person's opinion wasn't taken into consideration.

9.4 G8 Vice President's Role

- 82 Motion: Bill Pardee moved and Joan Leuenberger seconded to approve the monitoring report on
- 83 G8 Vice President's Role. **Motion** passed by a 6-0 vote.
- 84 Summary: Compliance is reported on the vice-president's role in conducting the board
- election and fulfilling duties listed in the by-laws. Non-compliance is reported in compiling
- a summary of monitoring reports because only the GM reports were summarized last year.

87 9.5 G9 Board Treasurer's Role

- Motion: Steve McCarger moved and Lyle Luzum seconded to approve the G9 Board Treasurer's Role monitoring report. Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- 90 <u>Summary</u>: Compliance is reported on monitoring the board budget and non-compliance
- 91 reported on performing the duties listed in the by-laws because the oversight of tax
- payments and other government required payments was not done throughout the year. That
- oversight was remedied before the monitoring report was submitted.

94 9.6 G10 Board Secretary's Role

- 95 **Motion**: Lyle Luzum moved and Bill Pardee seconded to approve the G10 Board Secretary's
- 96 Role monitoring report. Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- 97 Summary: Compliance is reported because board documents were filed, meeting work
- 98 including minutes were completed, the policy register is up-to-date, the election results were
- certified by the former secretary, excessive staff time was not used, and duties from the by-
- laws were completed.

9.7 Board Development: Election Procedure Recommendations

- Motion: Bill Pardee moved and Steve McCargar seconded to postpone consideration of
- recommendations to the election procedures until the April meeting. Motion **passed** by a 6-0
- 104 vote

107

- 105 <u>Pro:</u> The document marked up with proposed changes was not posted to the website for
- review.

9.8 Financial Review Committee Report and Recommendations

- Motion: Bill Pardee moved and Joan Leuenberger seconded to approve the recommendations
- 109 from the Financial Review Committee.
- Summary: 1. The committee recommended the following regarding the conduct of the financial
- review/audit and relations with the outside financial firm: a. The board adopt a set of two years
- for financial reviews and one year for a full audit. b. The board not use an audit review
- committee, but the board as a whole. c. The board either hire the auditor/financial reviewer itself,
- or create a set of criteria that the GM could use to hire the auditor or financial reviewer. d. The
- reviewer/auditor send their findings directly to the board of directors; e. The board ask questions
- of the auditor/reviewer in a manner that is thought out by the board as a whole.
- 2. The committee recommended that the auditor/reviewer be asked specific questions about our
- L5 financial policies to be used in monitoring those policies.

- 3. The committee recommended the addition of two policies to spell out in more detail when
- monitoring data will be acquired from outside sources, specifically: D4.2.1 An external audit
- will be conducted at least every third year with financial reviews in the intervening years, and
- D4.2.2 An external staff survey will be conducted every other year.
- 123 <u>Pro</u>: Since the interpretation of our L5 policies is set, we can now ask questions to get impartial
- compliance data.

133

134

135

136137

138

139

140141

142

143

144

145

146

153

- Amendment: Steve McCargar moved and Bill Pardee seconded to delete proposed policy change D4.2.2 for further consideration. Amendment **passed** by a vote of 4 ayes to 2 nays
- 127 (Joan Leuenberger, Bill Pardee)
- Pro: It would be better to conduct an external staff survey within the first year of a new GM's starting.
- Con: Adding this policy puts more responsibility on the board to see that an external staff survey is conducted. The standard within this policy addition matches the expectation in the GM's L policy.
 - **Amendment**: Bill Pardee moved and Steve McCargar seconded to have the auditor/reviewer check whether all taxes and government-mandated payments were made in compliance with policy L5.7.
 - <u>Pro</u>: Questions about filing and payment of taxes aren't included. Testing by an auditor adds a level of assurance.
 - <u>Con</u>: The auditors would only test a sample of all payments, which wouldn't add much comfort to the assertion that all are paid.
 - **Amendment to the Amendment**: Steve Peterson moved and Lyle Luzum seconded to change the wording so it is consistent with the format in the recommendation, i.e. to say: "L5.7 Allow tax payments or other government-ordered payments or filings to be overdue or inaccurately filed. Request to the Auditor: Please test that all taxes and other payments have been made as confirmed by the GM." Amendment **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
 - <u>Pro</u>: Verifying all transactions would be too costly because there are so many.
- Amendment to add a check on L5.7 **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- Motion to approve the recommendation of the Financial Review Committee as amended **passed**
- 149 by a 6-0 vote.
- 150 **Motion**: Bill Pardee moved and Steve McCargar seconded to add the review questions for the
- auditor/reviewer to the Procedure Manual. Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- Pro: The board needs to know where to find the questions in the future.

9.9 PV Report and Recommendations

- Motion: Steve McCargar moved and Lyle Luzum seconded to have the OCC board of directors
- encourage David Lester to complete the energy audit process with Alliant Energy and create a
- sub-committee of the board to evaluate the question of solar PV installation.

- Pro: We would qualify for the maximum tax credit if Alliant conducts the audit. Adding solar energy is consistent with our ends. As a board we can conduct fund-raising for special projects if the GM agrees.
- Amendment: Steve Peterson moved and Bill Pardee seconded to delete "with Alliant Energy and create a sub-committee of the board to evaluate the question of solar PV installation." Amendment **passed** by a vote of 4 ayes to 2 nays (Lyle Luzum and Steve McCargar.)
 - <u>Pro</u>: This initiative would require staff time and board time that may be better spent elsewhere. Dennis Pottraz's report demonstrates a poor return on solar energy even with his optimistic assumptions. We won't know which direction to go with energy usage improvements until we get the energy audit back. There are many ways to reduce energy consumption so we shouldn't favor solar over other methods. We may qualify for the maximum credit with a Black Hills Energy audit.
- Con: David has already scheduled an energy audit using Black Hills through the
 Winneshiek Energy District so there's little point in further encouraging him.
- Motion to encourage David to conduct an energy audit **passed** by a vote of 4 ayes to 1 nay
- 173 (Steve McCargar) and 1 abstention (Lyle Luzum).

9.10 Board Administrative Position Report/Recommendation

- Motion: Lyle Luzum moved and Bill Pardee seconded to approve a stipend of \$1,200 per year
- for an administrative assistant paid through the store but running through the board budget.
- 177 Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.

9.11 L1 Policy Change: No Weapons on Premises Posting (Second Reading)

- 179 **Motion**: Lyle Luzum moved and Bill Pardee seconded to postpone consideration of the policy
- change regarding weapons for three months until the June meeting. Motion passed by a vote of
- 5 ayes to 1 nay (Steve McCargar).
- Pro: This gives the new board time to consider this change instead of having the old board
- 183 conduct the first two readings and the new board having the third, gives the GM time to
- interpret the new law, and gives the Decorah business community time to organize its
- response to the Iowa law change.

9.12 2003-2004 Patronage Dividend Issue

- Motion: Steve Peterson moved and Bill Pardee seconded to retain the 2003 & 2004 unpaid
- patronage dividend in equity indefinitely. Motion **passed** by a vote of 5 ayes to 1 nay (Steve
- 189 McCargar).

186

164 165

166

167

168

169

- Pro: The unpaid dividend is already in the equity section of the balance sheet after Hacker
- Nelson reclassified it this year. There is nothing in the minutes from those meetings where
- patronage dividends were declared to indicate there was intent to pay the remainder out in
- 2011 and much to indicate that the intent was to retain them indefinitely. Specifically, they
- wanted to use the cash to further the business. Because part was used to buy a bigger
- building, those funds are still tied up in capital assets. Onita Mohr, the secretary who wrote

those meeting minutes, said it was the board's intent to retain the remaining 80%. Patronage 196 dividends ranked at or near the bottom of the list of member benefits on the latest member 197 survey. Retention is prudent because it is consistent with member values to build the 198 business. It is best practice to retain member patronage. This sets a precedent that Oneota 199 200 will disburse 20% of declared patronage dividends and retain the remaining 80%. Con: When the board told management to switch from member discounts to a patronage 201 dividend system, management pitched the change to members saying they would receive 202 dividends and now we're backing away from that. We don't know how members feel about 203 204 this issue, or what they remember about those years.

9.13 2010 Patronage Dividend/Preferred Share Decision

- 206 **Motion**: Steve Peterson moved and Steve McCargar seconded to not issue dividends this year.
- 207 Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.

205

222

208 <u>Pro</u>: David Lester recommends not paying patronage dividends this year and to learn more 209 about the issues involved over the next year. There's too much to figure out first, then 210 educate the members and implement the necessary systems. Paying 20% of a dividend or 211 paying taxes uses about the same amount of cash. We have to balance patronage dividends 212 with preferred dividends and determine whether we can issue dividends at all since our 213 bylaws say we can't if we have outstanding financial obligations, which may mean loans.

214 9.14 Annual Meeting Agenda

- 215 **Motion**: Lyle Luzum moves and Joan Leuenberger seconded to approve the draft annual meeting
- agenda. Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- 217 Pro: The agenda includes all items required by the by-laws

218 9.15 Annual Board Retreat

- 219 Motion: Lyle Luzum moved and Robert Fitton seconded to hold the annual retreat at Bill
- Pardee's residence from 8:30 to 5:00 on April 16. Motion **passed** by a 6-0 vote.
- 221 <u>Pro</u>: Bill would be happy to offer hospitality. It's a comfortable setting.

9.16 Request to Redeem Preferred Shares

- 223 **Motion**: Steve Peterson moved and Lyle Luzum seconded grant a member's request to redeem
- 224 15 preferred shares. Motion failed by a tie vote of 3 ayes (Steve Peterson, Joan Leuenberger,
- Lyle Luzum) and 3 nays.
- 226 <u>Pro</u>: The board has approved a loan payment to an individual in the past and redeeming
- preferred shares is at the board's discretion according to the issuing documents. Requests
- don't come too often; this is only the third. The policy could be that we grant requested
- payouts as long as it doesn't put the Coop in financial jeopardy. Management believes we
- could make this \$750 payout in April without negative financial consequences.
- Con: Because there's no existing policy for granting redemptions, we need a policy in place
- before responding to requests. We don't want to appear to be playing favorites by using a
- case-by-case or first come-first served method.

234 235	10. Consideration of Items Pulled from Consent Agenda No items were pulled from the consent agenda.
236 237 238	 11. Next Monitoring L5 Financial Conditions G Global Governance-Lyle Luzum
239	12. Next MeetingTuesday, April 26, Room 367 Valders Hall, Luther College Campus
240 241	13. Executive Session There was no executive session.
242	14. Adjourn
243	Motion: Robert Fitton moved and Joan Leuenberger seconded to adjourn. Motion passed by a
244	6-0 vote.
245	Meeting adjourned at 8:45.
246	Respectfully submitted,
247	Joan Leuenberger, board secretary
248	Documents reviewed:
249	OCC Board Self-Evaluation Results
250	Board Self-Evaluation Discussion Questions
251	Agenda
252	Minutes, February 22 regular monthly meeting
253	GM Report
254	E1 Ends GM Monitoring Report
255	G7 Board President's Role Monitoring Report
256	G8 Board Vice President's Role Monitoring Report
257	G9 Board Treasurer's Role Monitoring Report
258 259	G10 Board Secretary's Role Monitoring Report Financial Audit Ad Hoc Committee Recommendations
260	Board Administrative Assistant Position Job Description
261	Excerpts from the 2003 and 2004 May minutes regarding Patronage Dividends
262	Draft Annual Meeting Agenda
	- 1011 1 minor 1,120 mil 1 10 min