[Oneota Community Coop
<u>)</u>	Minutes for Regular Monthly Meeting
3	Tuesday, April 27, 2010

- 4 Board Members Present: Onita Mohr, Robert Fitton, Lyle Luzum, Steve Peterson, Joan Leuenberger, and Georgie
- 5 Klevai
- 6 GM Present: No, excused to attend Kellogg Foundation meeting
- 7 Coop member/owners present: 9
- 8 1. Call to Order
- 9 President Lyle Luzum called the meeting to order at 5:02
- 10 2. Agenda Review
- 11 Motion: Georgie moved and Toni seconded to approve the agenda for the outgoing board as presented. Motion
- 12 passed by a 7-0 vote.
- 13 3. Approval of Minutes
- 14 Motion: Robert moved and Georgie seconded to approve the minutes of the regular monthly meeting on March
- 15 23, 2010. Motion passed by a 7-0 vote.
- 16 4. Certification of Election Results
- 17 Motion: Onita as board secretary moved and Toni seconded to certify the results of the board election. Onita
- presented the vote tallies for the annual election indicating that Jon Jensen, Steve McCargar, and Bill Pardee
- were elected to the board and all bylaw amendments passed with the requisite 75% of ballots cast. Motion
- 20 passed by a 7-0 vote. See attached certification and table for vote tallies.
- 21 5. Retirement of Outgoing Board Members
- 22 Appreciation for their many years of service was expressed for Georgie Klevar, Onita Mohr, and Toni Smith as
- they retire from their board positions. Retiring board members expressed a few final thoughts and then
- 24 departed from the meeting. Georgie and Toni expressed appreciation for the leadership and dedication of Lyle
- 25 Luzum and Steve Peterson during the past couple of years of transition for the Coop. Onita explained her
- 26 decision to retire was based upon her belief that the Coop board has established good policies and procedures
- 27 to carry forward into the future so her work is done and she can leave with good feelings about that.
- 28 6. Seating of Incoming Board Members
- 29 Steve McCargar, Bill Pardee, and Jon Jensen took their seats at the board table and were welcomed by the rest
- 30 of the board members.
- 31 7. Member Comments
- 32 Sue Otte hoped the board would find a location for future meetings that would better accommodate members
- 33 than the Coop meeting room.
- 34 Response: The issue was discussed and acted upon under agenda item 18 below.
- 35 Birgitta Meade expressed concerns that the Code of Conduct being used by the current board is different in
- 36 several substantive ways from the one she had signed as a board member. Specifically, Item 5 under Code of
- 37 Conduct about maintaining confidentiality and the ninth and twelfth bullet points under Code of Conduct about
- 38 refraining from being financially involved in a perceived conflict of interest and presenting the board's viewpoint
- 39 instead of the director's while speaking for the Coop seemed broader in scope than before. Concern about
- 40 stifling board members' discussions with members because of these changes was expressed. Also, violating the
- 41 Code now requires the director to resign immediately while the former document allows for actions up to and
- 42 including resignation.
- 43 Response: Steve Peterson explained that the Code of Conduct wasn't intentionally changed. With the new
- election procedures we looked for, but failed to find, an electronic copy of the Code used since 1998. Steve
- 45 found what he believed to be the source document of the one we've been using among the cooperative

- resources we have available. He then checked with Georgie Klevar who agreed it was the same. This document
- 47 was the one sent to board members. The issue was further discussed under agenda item 8 below.
- 48 Laura Kemmer wants board members' comments and opinions identified by name in the minutes.
- 49 Response: The issue was discussed under agenda item 15.3 below.
- 50 David Koester commented that it was unnecessary in the instructions to meeting attendees that they discuss
- issues in a civil manner.
- 52 Response: David was thanked for his input.
- 53 8. Agenda Review

56

57 58

59

60 61

62

63 64

78

Motion: Jon moved and Bill seconded to approve the agenda for the portion of the meeting conducted by the new board.

Amendment: Bill moved and Steve P seconded to remove Item 9 Signing of the Code of Ethics/Code of Conduct until after discussion and possible changes are made to it at the May meeting. Amendment passed by a 7-0 vote.

<u>Pro:</u> There is confusion about which code of conduct should be used. The board needs a common framework to effectively move forward.

<u>Con:</u> The membership won't have a board where all members have agreed to abide by a code of conduct during the coming month. Board members need to know what they can and can't do. There was a sense of support for the presented document by all the candidates at the candidate

forum

- Motion to approve the amended agenda passed by a 7-0 vote
- 9. Signing of the Code of Ethics/Code of Conduct
- 67 Removed from Agenda by vote in item 8
- 68 10. Election of President
- 69 Motion: Joan moved and Robert seconded to elect Steve Peterson as president. Motion passed by a vote of 5
- ayes and 2 abstentions (Jon and Steve M).
- 71 11. Election of Vice President, Secretary, and Treasurer
- 72 Motion: Lyle moved and Joan seconded to nominate the slate of Robert Fitton as vice president, Joan
- The Table 1 Leuenberger as secretary, and Bill Pardee as treasurer. Motion passed by a 7-0 vote.
- Pro: All nominated members agreed to serve in those capacities.
- 75 12. Constitute Standing Committees
- Motion: Lyle moved and Jon seconded to nominate Bill, Steve M. and Steve P. for the member linkage
- 77 committee and Robert, Jon, and Steve P. for the board development committee. Motion passed by a 7-0 vote.
 - Pro: The nominated members volunteered to serve on those committees after hearing the purpose of each
- 79 committee. Steve P. as president serves on each committee and Robert as VP serves on board
- 80 development. There are new members on each committee to add a fresh perspective and old members on
- each to add some stability and historical memory.
- 82 13. Consent Agenda
- 83 There were no items on the consent agenda
- 84 14. Reports
- 85 14.1 GM Report to the Board
- David Lester sent his report electronically because he is representing the Coop at the Kellogg Foundation Food
- and Fitness Initiative meeting in Arizona. The report focused on finances and Coop initiatives. Sales are
- 88 exceeding expectations, net income is better than budgeted, and cash is increasing. The March member drive
- 89 added 70 new members. Efforts are under way to hire a grocery manager. Our marketing strategy is now more
- 90 community focused adopting joint efforts with other area businesses/organizations. Changes for the Water
- 91 Street Café will be complete the first week of May. The Earth Day event was very well attended. Construction of

- 92 the Norm Smith Plaza will begin after Nordic Fest. David has been training through the NCGA and other
- organizations, with the goal of writing a business plan by year end.
- 94 14.2 Board Development
- 95 Steve P reported on plans of the board development committee to include a component of board learning at
- each meeting. The former board had success with group learning in the past, but efforts were not so successful
- 97 when left up to individuals on their own. Holding training this way will also allow interested members attending
- the meeting to learn along with the board and provide input. Other coops have also had success with this
- 99 method. The first recommended training is a webinar from CBLD about strategic thinking. David requested the
- board help with the development of a strategic plan he is working on so learning about board roles in this
- 101 process would be very timely.
- 102 14.3 Board Budget Q1
- Joan as board treasurer reported that expenses for the election ran over budget, but the difference was made
- up for because the costs of the GM search came in under budget. The board has spent \$4,208 of its \$16,650
- 105 budget as of March 31, 2010.
- 106 15. Action Items
- 107 15.1 Policy Monitoring-Board G: Global Governance Commitment
- Motion: Bill moved and Robert seconded to approve the monitoring report on G. Motion passed by a vote of 6
- 109 ayes and 1 nay (Steve M)
- 110 <u>Summary:</u> Because the board has a policy manual covering the required categories, because the board has
- monitored all policies (except G5 because of a change in monitoring calendar) during the past year, and
- because data showing compliance with the specific G subpolicies exist in their specific monitoring reports,
- compliance is reported on the global policy.
- 114 <u>Pro:</u> There is more data contained in the subpolicy monitoring reports done throughout the past year that
- supports the global assertions of policy G.
- 116 <u>Con:</u> The conduct of the last election constituted an unacceptable action under the code of conduct since
- 117 not all members were encouraged to run for the board. No data was presented in the report showing
- 118 board monitoring of GM policies.
- 119 15.2 Policy Monitoring GM L5: Financial Condition and Activities Q1
- 120 Motion: Lyle moved and Bill seconded to approve the monitoring report on L5. Motion passed by a 7-0 vote.
- 121 Summary: Sales are higher in Q1 2010 than Q1 2009, net income is 3.7% which is greater than the .5%
- benchmark and the 1.3% budget. The current ratio is above the 1.25 benchmark, but the guick ratio of .55
- is below the benchmark of .7. The debt to equity ratio is at the benchmark of 300%. No new debts have
- been incurred. No real estate transactions have occurred. The Coop is in compliance with tax payments.
- 125 Contracts, payroll, and loans were paid timely. There were no restricted funds at this time. A review will be
- 126 conducted again this year to determine whether financial statements are in conformity with generally
- 127 accepted accounting principles.
- Pro: The GM alerted the board that net income in 1st quarter was affected by a \$13,000 adjustment to
- 129 correct a bookkeeping error included in 4th guarter 2009. Benchmarks being used are the ones the NCGA
- uses to monitor member stores.
- 131 Con: What is the appropriate period of time used to compare whether the GM has allowed sales to decline.
- Some wording from the template wasn't deleted adding confusion to the report. The report doesn't
- include enough discussion of financial statements for year-end or 1st guarter.
- 134 15.3 Board Procedure for Minute Taking
- 135 Motion: Steve P moved and Bill seconded that the following be included in the board procedure manual in the
- 136 section titled BOARD MEETINGS:
- 137 PUBLIC BOARD MINUTES. Board minutes are a legal record of the proceedings of the Coop and a method of
- 138 communication the actions of the board as a whole on behalf of the owners of the Coop. As a result, board
- 139 minutes should

140

contain the items on the agenda of each meeting;

- contain all information that is legally required;
 - briefly summarize the topic of board learning (if any);
 - briefly summarize the main points of reports that were presented (if any);
 - state the motions that were discussed;
 - briefly summarize the main issues that were discussed in the motions;
 - record the votes on motions and how voting members voted.

Meeting minutes should not

- be a transcript of who said what at the meeting;
- include personal comments, interpretations, or opinions of individual board members, member-owners, store staff, or others.

<u>Pro:</u> The change in board secretaries makes this a good time to consider what we want the minutes to contain and to standardize the procedure for the future. Onita Mohr had requested this discussion during her tenure as secretary, but the board never got around to acting on it. Cooperative resources and Roberts Rules of Order were researched for best practices to use in formulating the procedure. The quantity of detail in the proposed procedure falls between transcript-style minutes such as used by Congress and the brief minutes required by Robert's Rules, under which the board operates. Minutes are a legal record of what happened, not a substitute for attending meetings. Good minutes build trust in members when they show that the board is doing its job. Shorter, more concise minutes may be read by more members who don't have time to read lengthy accounts, therefore increasing democracy and understanding of board action. Briefer, standardized minutes will be easier to research when questions come up in the future. Board members can amend the minutes if they disagree with how something was summarized.

<u>Con:</u> Detailed minutes aid the perception that the board is open and transparent. Members need to know exactly what happened at meetings they are unable to attend. Members' comments should be recorded to maintain board-member connection.

Amendment: Bill moved and Lyle seconded to change the 6th bullet point to "briefly summarize the main arguments pro and con that were discussed in the motions." Amendment passed by a 7-0 vote.

Pro: clarifies the word "issues" used in the original motion.

Amendment: Steve M moved and Lyle seconded that the raw notes of the scribe and/or secretary be saved and archived for 24 months to be accessed by board members and members through a request to the board secretary.

<u>Pro:</u> Interested parties can access the proceedings of meetings to gather the information they want. Since notes are not official minutes, they are not legal documents.

Con: The notes are not approved, corrected, or verified by board members present at that meeting. Notes would probably be subpoenaed even though not official minutes in the event of legal action. Even in a transcript specific wording is lost due to editing by the scribe during note-taking and nuances and non-verbal communication are not even recorded though they could change the meaning of the words used. There are possible legal and personal consequences if someone makes slanderous or libelous comments which are recorded. The scribe is at risk for how the meeting conversation is edited during note taking since the scribe is not a court reporter.

Call the Question: Bill moved and Lyle seconded to call the question. Motion passed by a 7-0 vote

Amendment failed by a vote of 1 aye (Steve M) to 5 nays with 1 abstention (Jon)

Amendment: Bill moved and Lyle seconded to record the names of members making comments during the member comment section. Amendment passed by a 7-0 vote.

<u>Pro:</u> Easier to find comments by name. Shows respect for member and their input if identified. Members should be held accountable for their comments in the same way board members are held accountable for their votes. There was no specific decision to stop identifying members by name; it just became too difficult to keep track of who said what during some of our recent discussions so comments were attributed to "a member."

Amendment: Steve M moved and Lyle seconded to record names of board members making pro and con arguments to motions. Amendment failed by a vote of 1 aye (Steve M) to 5 nays with 1 abstention (Jon)

193 194 195 196 197 198 199	 Pro: It is more democratic for members to know what individual board members thought and contributed to discussions. Con: It is unfair to hold board members accountable for their original positions on motions since discussion often results in members changing their minds; what matters is how they vote at the end and that will be recorded by name under the proposal. Recording names for each comment will slow discussions and will be more difficult for the scribe and secretary. Motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes to 1 nay (Steve M). 	
200 201 202 203	17. Monitoring Reports Steve P will write the Board G4: Board Code of Conduct report. The GM will report on L10: Board Logistical Support and L5: Financial Conditions, but asks to defer on L: Global Executive Constraint until he has more data on his own performance.	
204 205 206	18. Next Meeting The next meeting will be May 25 at 5:00 at Luther, probably Valres Science Building room 367. Jon Jensen will confirm the location in time for wide publication to the membership.	
207 208	19. Executive Session There was no executive session.	
209 210	20. Adjournment Motion: Jon moved and Lyle seconded to adjourn. Motion passed by a 7-0 vote.	
211	Meeting adjourned at 7:55.	
212 213	Respectfully submitted, Joan Leuenberger, board secretary	
214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221	Agenda Minutes, March 23 regular monthly meeting Certification of Election Results GM Report Board Budget Chart Policy G, Global Governance Commitment Policy L5 Financial Condition and Activities	